

## PRIVACY LAWS & BUSINESS DATA PROTECTION & PRIVACY INFORMATION WORLDWIDE

# KPN brought to task over disclosure of subscriber details

Why is this Dutch telecoms firm in breach of the Dutch Data Protection Act, and what does it plan to do about it? **Joe Figueiredo** reports.

KPN, the Dutch

telecoms giant, has been

selling to direct

marketers name-and-

address information on

its unlisted subscribers,

with neither their

knowledge nor

approval.

Por several years now, KPN, the Dutch telecoms giant, has been selling to direct marketers name-and-address information on its unlisted subscribers, with neither their knowledge nor approval. Following a year-long investigation, KPN was notified in a letter dated August 25th 2003, stating that this

business practice was considered 'most irregular'.

Furthermore, the Dutch privacy authority (CBP), and the Dutch telecoms regulator (OPTA), who jointly conducted the investigation, have given KPN four weeks to explain how and when it intends to put a halt to this

practice, and its plans to inform existing and new subscribers of its policy on unlisted numbers.

Under the Data Protection Act, the CPB is empowered to impose penalties and exercise 'administrative coercion' in cases where companies refuse to comply. However, KPN could appeal any decision and subsequent action to the CPB, and ultimately take any grievance to the Dutch courts.

A MATTER OF CHOICE

In 1993, the Dutch PTT Telecom (KPN's predecessor) promised consumers that it would never divulge such information. But then in the mid-90s, KPN revised its policy on unlisted numbers without informing consumers or unlisted subscribers 'sufficiently'. Following complaints

from subscribers, the CBP, supported by OPTA, initiated the investigation in July 2002.

"Trading such information for legitimate purposes is perfectly legal," explained Jan Willem Broekema, one of three CBP commissioners who are legally empowered to investigate matters

relating to the Dutch Data Protection Act. "However, not informing the information owners - especially unlisted subscribers who by their very nature are sensitive to breaches of privacy - sufficiently of this practice, nor offering them a proper opportunity to opt-out, is unlawful," he continued. "KPN's opt-out procedure is cumbersome,

Continued on p.3

Issue 69 August/September 2003

## **NEWS & ANALYSIS**

- 2 Comment
- 4 Global News Roundup
- 6 News

IBM tops workplace privacy poll • Sonera scandal deepens • US consumers confused by privacy policies • EU companies challenged by workplace monitoring rules

12 - News Analysis

EU holds firm over airline data rift • RFID - the saga continues

### **REGULATION**

14 - Central and Eastern Europe

As more European countries line up to join the EU, pressure is on to implement adequate data protection legislation.

15 - Hungary

New amendments to Hungary's Data Protection Act will strengthen individuals' rights and improve enforcement powers.

### WORKPLACE PRIVACY

16 - Medical testing

Testing workers for alcohol and drugs is on the rise, but is it really necessary?

### **MARKETING**

18 - EU marketing code

FEDMA has developed an EU-approved code of practice for compliant marketing.

20 - US marketing and privacy

Marketers targeting US consumers are currently faced with a bewildering set of privacy-related laws and regulations.

### **MANAGEMENT**

22 - Case study: Kodak

How Kodak implemented a global privacy compliance regime.

25 - Dangerous liaisons

Businesses should tread carefully before outsourcing to third party processors.

28 - Case study: IMS Health

IMS Health's proactive approach to privacy has helped the company address legal requirements across Latin America.

30 - DP surgery

A panel of privacy experts outline their best practice solutions to everyday compliance problems.