WW TEE CO

INTERNATIONAL NEWSLETTER

PRIVACY LAWS & BUSINESS DATA PROTECTION & PRIVACY INFORMATION WORLDWIDE

US Safe Harbor under fire

Under-achieving, beset with flaws and neglected by both business and regulators - has the Safe Harbor privacy programme had its day? By **Alan Pedersen**.

hen the European Union adopted the Data Protection Directive in 1995, its policy makers were faced with a dilemma. While they recognised the need to protect peoples' rights by imposing controls on the flow of personal data outside EU borders, these restrictions risked damaging trade relations with the United States - a country which lacked the comprehensive scope of Europe's high privacy standards.

American businesses suddenly discovered their ability to move

customer and employee data from their European offices had been drastically curtailed – their options limited to signing complex and unwieldy contracts or relying on a handful of legal exemptions.

Strong economic ties with the US,

however, were enough for the European Commission to warrant the situation as a 'special case', and officials on both sides of the Atlantic endeavoured to find a workable solution. In 2001, after nearly two years of extensive negotiations between the Commission and the US Department of Commerce, the Safe Harbor privacy programme was born. It was hailed as the tool that would bridge the gulf between the two regions' contrasting approaches to privacy protection – a scheme advocating consumer rights, yet grounded in commercial reality. Essentially, Safe Harbor was a compromise, blending European privacy principles with the US selfregulatory approach to compliance.

Four years on, and the optimism that greeted the launch of Safe Harbor appears to have faded, with privacy experts questioning its credibility, both in terms of its success in persuading businesses to sign on and as a viable way of protecting European citizens. An independent status report published by the European Commis-

As a proportion of the companies importing data from Europe, the numbers are insignificant and a poor return for the effort that was put into establishing the Safe Harbor framework. European Commission in October has exposed poor adoption rates, patchy compliance by participating companies and virtual neglect by the regulatory authorities responsible for enforcing the scheme (see table, p.10).

Steering clear

The 600-plus companies registered on the Safe Harbor list marks a significant improvement from two years ago when barely 150 organisations had signed up. But as a proportion of the companies importing data from Europe, the numbers are insignificant and a poor return for the effort that was put into establishing the Safe Harbor framework. Part of the problem, observes

Issue 75 Oct/November 2004

NEWS & ANALYSIS

2 - Editorial

Businesses need to start thinking more about their customers

4 - Global News Roundup

6 - News

Canadian report highlights US outsourcing dangers • Bermuda plans privacy legislation • EU backs ICC data transfer contracts • Cahoot admits online security breach

9 - Comment & Analysis

EU accession countries: The diversity of harmonisation

REGULATION

11 - European case law

A look at how the European Court of Justice has addressed the conflict between consumer protection legislation and privacy.

12 - The EU's privacy programme

The European Commission's attempts to improve data protection in Europe have yet to offer any immediate help to businesses.

MANAGEMENT & STRATEGY

14 - Phishing/Online fraud

In the battle to regain consumer trust in the Internet, victory will hinge upon launching a multi-pronged attack against phishing and online fraud.

16 - Mobile security

What steps can businesses take to mitigate the security risks posed by removable media devices?

18 - Compliant marketing

Companies trying to cut the risks in crossborder marketing campaigns should think less about the law and more about their customers.

20 - Privacy Impact Assessments

Implementing a Privacy Impact Assessment (PIA) can be more than simply a way to ensure legal compliance - used correctly it can reduce business risk.

22 - Handling a media crisis

Negative publicity resulting from privacy breaches is an issue no company can afford to ignore. To avoid falling into the hands of the media, businesses need to plan ahead.