

## RESOLUTIONS

## RESOLUTIONS ADOPTED WITHOUT REFERENCE TO A MAIN COMMITTEE

## CONTENTS

Resolution No.	Title	Item	Date of adoption	Page
42/229	Report of the Committee on Relations with the Host Country			
	Resolution A (A/42/L.46 and Add.1) . . . . .	136	2 March 1988	1
	Resolution B (A/42/L.47 and Add.1) . . . . .	136	2 March 1988	2
42/230	Report of the Committee on Relations with the Host Country (A/42/L.48 and Add.1) . . . . .	136	23 March 1988	2
42/231	Special plan of economic co-operation for Central America (A/42/L.49 and Add.1) . . . . .	34 and 86	12 May 1988	3
42/232	Report of the Committee on Relations with the Host Country (A/42/L.50) . . . . .	136	13 May 1988	4

**42/229. Report of the Committee on Relations with the Host Country****A***The General Assembly,*

*Having considered* the reports of the Secretary-General of 10 and 25 February 1988,<sup>1</sup>

*Recalling* its resolution 42/210 B of 17 December 1987,

*Reaffirming* the applicability to the Permanent Observer Mission of the Palestine Liberation Organization to the United Nations in New York of the provisions of the Agreement between the United Nations and the United States of America regarding the Headquarters of the United Nations, dated 26 June 1947,<sup>2</sup>

*Having been apprised* of the provisions of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989, which was signed on 22 December 1987, Title X of which establishes certain prohibitions regarding the Palestine Liberation Organization, *inter alia*, a prohibition "to establish or maintain an office, headquarters, premises, or other facilities or establishments within the jurisdiction of the United States at the behest or direction of, or with funds provided by the Palestine Liberation Organization or any of its constituent groups, any successor to any of those, or any agents thereof",

*Bearing in mind* that that provision takes effect on 21 March 1988,

*Taking note* of the position of the Secretary-General in which he concluded that a dispute existed between the United Nations and the United States of America concerning the interpretation or application of the Agreement,

*Noting* that the Secretary-General invoked the dispute settlement procedure set out in section 21 of the Agreement and proposed that the negotiations phase of the procedure commence on 20 January 1988,

*Noting also* from the report of the Secretary-General of 10 February 1988<sup>3</sup> that the United States was not in a position and was not willing to enter formally into the dispute settlement procedure under section 21 of the Agreement, that the United States was still evaluating the situation, and that the Secretary-General had sought assurances that the present arrangements for the Permanent Observer Mission of the Palestine Liberation Organization would not be curtailed or otherwise affected,

*Affirming* that the United States of America, the host country, is under a legal obligation to enable the Permanent Observer Mission of the Palestine Liberation Organization to establish and maintain premises and adequate functional facilities and to enable the personnel of the Mission to enter and remain in the United States to carry out their official functions.

1. *Supports* the efforts of the Secretary-General and expresses its great appreciation for his reports;

2. *Reaffirms* that the Permanent Observer Mission of the Palestine Liberation Organization to the United Nations in New York is covered by the provisions of the Agreement between the United Nations and the United States of America regarding the Headquarters of the United Nations<sup>2</sup> and that it should be enabled to establish and maintain premises and adequate functional facilities and that the personnel of the Mission should be enabled to enter and remain in the United States of America to carry out their official functions;

<sup>1</sup> A/42/915 and Add.1.

<sup>2</sup> See resolution 169 (II).

<sup>3</sup> A/42/915.

3. *Considers* that the application of Title X of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989, in a manner inconsistent with paragraph 2 above would be contrary to the international legal obligations of the host country under the Agreement;

4. *Considers* that a dispute exists between the United Nations and the United States of America, the host country, concerning the interpretation or application of the Agreement, and that the dispute settlement procedure set out in section 21 of the Agreement should be set in operation;

5. *Calls upon* the host country to abide by its treaty obligations under the Agreement and to provide assurance that no action will be taken that would infringe on the current arrangements for the official functions of the Permanent Observer Mission of the Palestine Liberation Organization to the United Nations in New York;

6. *Requests* the Secretary-General to continue in his efforts in pursuance of the provisions of the Agreement, in particular section 21 thereof, and to report without delay to the Assembly;

7. *Decides* to keep the matter under active review.

*104th plenary meeting  
2 March 1988*

## B

*The General Assembly,*

*Recalling* its resolution 42/210 B of 17 December 1987 and bearing in mind its resolution 42/229 A above,

*Having considered* the reports of the Secretary-General of 10 and 25 February 1988,<sup>1</sup>

*Affirming* the position of the Secretary-General that a dispute exists between the United Nations and the host country concerning the interpretation or application of the Agreement between the United Nations and the United States of America regarding the Headquarters of the United Nations, dated 26 June 1947,<sup>2</sup> and noting his conclusions that attempts at amicable settlement were deadlocked and that he had invoked the arbitration procedure provided for in section 21 of the Agreement by nominating an arbitrator and requesting the host country to nominate its own arbitrator,

*Bearing in mind* the constraints of time that require the immediate implementation of the dispute settlement procedure in accordance with section 21 of the Agreement,

*Noting* from the report of the Secretary-General of 10 February 1988<sup>3</sup> that the United States of America was not in a position and was not willing to enter formally into the dispute settlement procedure under section 21 of the Agreement and that the United States was still evaluating the situation,

*Taking into account* the provisions of the Statute of the International Court of Justice, in particular Articles 41 and 68 thereof,

*Decides*, in accordance with Article 96 of the Charter of the United Nations, to request the International Court of Justice, in pursuance of Article 65 of the Statute of the Court, for an advisory opinion on the following question, taking into account the time constraint:

In the light of facts reflected in the reports of the Secretary-General,<sup>1</sup> is the United States of America, as a party to the Agreement between the United Nations and the United States of America regarding the Headquarters

of the United Nations,<sup>2</sup> under an obligation to enter into arbitration in accordance with section 21 of the Agreement?

*104th plenary meeting  
2 March 1988*

### 42/230. Report of the Committee on Relations with the Host Country

*The General Assembly,*

*Having considered* the reports of the Secretary-General of 11 and 16 March 1988,<sup>4</sup>

*Guided* by the purposes and principles of the Charter of the United Nations and, in particular, the provisions of Chapter XVI,

*Recalling* its resolutions 42/210 B of 17 December 1987 and 42/229 A and B of 2 March 1988,

*Recalling* that the United Nations was created with the aim, *inter alia*, as defined in the Charter, "to establish conditions under which justice and respect for the obligations arising from treaties and other sources of international law can be maintained",

*Recalling* that the Agreement between the United Nations and the United States of America regarding the Headquarters of the United Nations, dated 26 June 1947,<sup>2</sup> was drawn up in accordance with the Charter, in particular Articles 28 and 105 thereof,

*Concerned* that the application to and enforcement against the Permanent Observer Mission of the Palestine Liberation Organization to the United Nations in New York of Title X of the Foreign Relations Authorization Act, Fiscal Years 1988 and 1989, would impede the realization of the aims of the United Nations,

*Expressing its appreciation* to the International Court of Justice for having unanimously adopted an order on 9 March 1988 accelerating its procedure on the request submitted by the General Assembly for its advisory opinion on "the applicability of the obligation to arbitrate under section 21 of the United Nations Headquarters Agreement of 26 June 1947",

*Expressing grave concern* about the attitude of the Government of the host country as expressed in the letter dated 11 March 1988 from the Acting Permanent Representative of the United States of America to the Secretary-General,<sup>3</sup> which states, *inter alia*, that "the Attorney General of the United States has determined that he is required by the Anti-Terrorism Act of 1987 to close the office of the Palestine Liberation Organization Observer Mission to the United Nations in New York, irrespective of any obligations the United States may have under the Agreement between the United Nations and the United States regarding the Headquarters of the United Nations",

*Expressing serious alarm* at the warning contained in that letter that "if the PLO does not comply with the Act, the Attorney General will initiate legal action to close the PLO Observer Mission on or about March 21 1988",

1. *Strongly supports* the position taken by the Secretary-General and expresses its great appreciation for his reports;

<sup>1</sup> A/42/915/Add.2 and 3.

<sup>2</sup> A/42/915/Add.2, annex 1.